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ABSTRACT: - In this paper, we present an algorithm to auto-complete words that work on user-input by suggesting the highly probabilistic words to the user. Our algorithm uses trie data structure we use this trie for efficient suggestion of words to the user. Our algorithm implements trie efficiently and modify its structure quickly and correctly every time a user enters a word. Typically browsers implement this feature by caching a fixed number of queries, previously entered by the user on the client side. Our algorithm can be used as an offline model for heavy user-input interaction interfaces. Typically browsers implement this feature by caching a fixed number of queries, previously entered by the user on the client side.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Auto-completion or auto-suggestion is a widely used prominent technique by almost all the major search engines, editors that works on the user-input. After a new character is entered in the editor, it filters suggestions that match the updated word and suggest the top-ranked words.

Text-input interfaces have been undergoing magnanimous changes since the past decade many search engines, editors today suggest auto-completions or suggestions as you type. Following a new character entered in the editor, it filters suggestions that match the updated word and suggest the top-ranked words. The primary step is filtering which is often facilitated by using data structures such as prefix-trees or tries that allow efficient lookups by prefix matching. The whole concept of automatic completion of words or queries has become highly pervasive. An auto-completion mechanism prompts the user with a set of suggestions, each of which represents a suffix or completion of the user-input. Thus auto-completion allows the user to not only avoid unnecessary typing, but also save a lot of time. It has also found its applications in input fields such as file location fields, email address and URL address bars. Along with it, applications such as dictionary-based word or phrase/query completion are also driven by auto-complete algorithms. With the recent technological advancement in the client-server model paradigms like AJAX (Asynchronous JavaScript and XML), users have increased expectations about the applications of auto-completion systems.

It is important to note that auto-completion is only effective if it appears “instantaneous” in the human time-scale, which has an upper bound of 100ms. For single word completion, typical techniques involve building a dictionary of all words as well as possibly coding this as a trie, with each node representing one character and each root-leaf path depicting a word. Such methods should be refrained from directly using in the multiword case because a finite dictionary of multi-word phrases cannot be constructed. Even if we limit the length of the phrases, we would still be in need of an 'alphabet' comprising all possible words. The relative size of such a dictionary would be several orders of magnitude larger than just for a single word case. It is therefore important to remember that auto-completion is useful only when suggestions offered are quick and correct (in that they are selected by the user). Also offering inappropriate suggestions is equally bad to offering no suggestions at all. It distracts the user and makes him anxious.

The upcoming section discuss the method and algorithm we present for auto-completion, its advantages and other industrial applications.
2. **Algorithm**

Construct a trie $T$ by inserting keys in the node and store its values. Following a new character entered by the user, filter suggestions that match the updated word and suggest the top results. After the word is added to the trie, a flag is used to represent successful completion of the process. Repeat the above procedure of adding the words to the trie until we encounter the longest word in the dictionary or flags for all words have been set.

Traverse the trie by comparing the corresponding characters of the words in the dictionary in order to return the most probable words the user would enter. Choose the nodes with higher edge costs and keep updating every time a word is entered.

$$\text{Edge Cost} = \frac{\text{Number of words starting with a particular letter}}{\text{Total number of words in the dictionary}}.$$  

If the node is reached where the complete word is found, suggest the word to the user and set the flag, which denotes the word has been added to the dictionary. New words other than those in the language used by the user will also add in the trie and updated every time the user inputs the word. It will self-learn and suggest the user with the desired words during word/query completion. Updating would take place during two major instances Word typed by the user matches the word in trie. Word typed by the user does not match with the word in trie.

3. **Pseudo Code**

We will be given with the set of words represented as \text{WORD\_LIST}. The word in the list will be inserted into the trie using \text{INITIALIZE\_TRIE} (\text{WORD\_LIST}). Whenever user will enter word the trie will be traversed using \text{TRAVERSE\_TRIE} (\text{TRIE,WORD,LEVEL}) and most probable word will be suggested to the user. When the user enter the new word or uses the word available in \text{WORD\_LIST} \text{INSERT\_TRIE} (\text{TRIE,WORD,LEVEL}) function will get called to improve the accuracy of the algorithm. The sample procedures of the above listed functions are as shown below.

\begin{verbatim}
INITIALIZE\_TRIE(WORD\_LIST)
BEGIN
    TRIE={}
    FOR WORD in WORD\_LIST
        INSERT\_TRIE(TRIE,WORD,0)
    END
END

TRAVERSE\_TRIE(TRIE,WORD,LEVEL)
BEGIN
    IF TRIE[WORD[LEVEL]] != EW and LEVEL<=WORD. LENGTH
        THEN
            TRAVERSE\_TRIE(TRIE[WORD[LEVEL]],WORD,LEVEL+1)
        END
    ELSE IF TRIE[WORD[LEVEL]]=EW and LEVEL=WORD. LENGTH
        THEN
            PRINT “Nothing to suggest”
        END
    ELSE
        THEN
            Follow path recursively where edge cost is maximum
        END
\end{verbatim}
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END

INSERT_TRIE(TRIE,WORD,LEVEL)
BEGIN
    IF TRIE[WORD[LEVEL]] exist and LEVEL<=WORD. LENGTH and EOQ=false
        THEN
            BEGIN
                Change the edge cost at LEVEL
                IF LEVEL+1 = WORD. LENGTH
                    THEN
                        EOW :=true
                    ELSE
                        THEN
                            EOW:=false
                            INSERT_TRIE(TRIE[WORD[LEVEL]],WORD,LEVEL+1)
                        END
                ELSE
                    THEN
                        BEGIN
                            Add the vertex into trie at LEVEL
                            Change the edge cost at LEVEL
                            IF LEVEL+1 = WORD. LENGTH
                                THEN
                                    EOW :=true
                                    TRIE[WORD[LEVEL]]=EW
                                ELSE
                                    THEN
                                        EOW:=false
                                        INSERT_TRIE(TRIE[WORD[LEVEL]],WORD,LEVEL+1)
                                    END
                        END
            END
END

Here EOW is a flag representing End of the word.

4. **Future Work**

    We look forward to extend this work to take advantage of efficient term and query matching methods to tailor suggestion lists for individual users using appropriate probabilistic models.

5. **Conclusion**

    The probabilistic trie model for auto-completion ensures efficient traversing and reducing the cost required to traverse the nodes using conditional probability. This model is well suited for offline editors and can also be used in interactive applications which require fast suggestions.
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